



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,338	12/12/2003	William E. Zierden	114214.00NEW1	7468
27557	7590	07/28/2004		
BLANK ROME LLP			EXAMINER	
600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W.			NGUYEN, PHUNG	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2632	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/733,338	ZIERDEN, WILLIAM E.
	Examiner Phung T Nguyen	Art Unit 2632

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2003.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 8-13 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 14 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 3,4,6 and 7 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some *
 - c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Best Available Copy

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/2004.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (U.S. Pat. 5,515,042).

Regarding claim 1: Nelson discloses traffic enforcement device which supplying a private individual with an imaging device adapted to capture images of a target vehicle suspected of a traffic violation (figure 2, col. 2, lines 57-62); operating the imaging device to capture images of a target vehicle suspected of a traffic violation and transmitting the images of the target vehicle to an analysis center (col. 3, lines 54-65); receiving the images of the target vehicle from the imaging device at the analysis center (col. 4, lines 21-23); determining at the analysis center whether a traffic violation has occurred based on the images transmitted from the imaging device (col. 4, lines 21-26); and issuing a traffic citation if a traffic violation has occurred col. 4, lines 23-25). Nelsond does not disclose compensating the private individual if the traffic citation is issued as claimed. However, it is seen that a reward based on achievement would be given to the private individual. Therefore, it would be obvious to the skilled artisan to recognize that the system of Nelson does also include compensating the private individual if the traffic citation is issued.

Regarding claim 2: Nelson discloses providing the private individual with a unique identification code, and labeling the images with indicia that is associated with the unique identification code of the private individual (col. 3, lines 28-32).

Regarding claim 5: Refer to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 14: All the claimed subject matter is already discussed in respect to claim 1 above. Nelson inherently discloses determining the identity of the private individual (col. 4, lines 7-11).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3, 4, 6, and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 8-13 are allowed.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Jesadanont [U.S. Pat. 5,451,758] discloses automatic non-computer network no-stop collection of expressway tolls by magnetic cards and method.
- b. Daly et al. [U.S. Pat. 5,948,038] disclose traffic violation processing.
- c. Squicciarini et al. [U.S. Pat. 5,408,330] disclose video incident capture system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)308-6252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu, can be reached on (703) 308-6730. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Examiner: Phung Nguyen



Date: July 23, 2004